	Case 2:06-cv-01047-MJP Document 4:	2 Filed 06/11/07 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
7	JACK K. STEIN,	
8	Plaintiff,	
9	v.	No. C06-1047MJP
10	KENNETH QUINN,	ORDER ON PETITION FOR CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
11	Defendant.	
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's petition for a certificate of appealability.	
15	(Dkt. No. 41). Having reviewed Plaintiff's petition and the balance of the record, the Court DENIES	
16	Plaintiff's request for a certificate of appealability for the reasons stated below.	
17	As a preliminary matter, Plaintiff does not need a certificate of appealability to the extent he is	
18	appealing the Court's order dismissing his claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 without prejudice. The	
19	requirements for a certificate of appealability apply to claims for habeas corpus relief, rather than to	
20	claims under Section 1983. See, e.g., Hagopian v. Smith, 2006 WL 3690905 at *1 (E.D. Mich. Dec.	
21	12, 2006) ("A certificate of appealability is not required to appeal from an order denying relief in a §	
22	1983 action").	
23	To the extent Plaintiff is appealing the Court's dismissal of his claims for habeas corpus relief	
24	under 28 U.S.C. § 2254, a certificate of appealability would be necessary under 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).	
25	In this case, the Court dismissed Plaintiff's habeas claims on procedural grounds, without reaching the	

ORDER - 1

Case 2:06-cv-01047-MJP Document 42 Filed 06/11/07 Page 2 of 2

1	merits of his constitutional claims. In such cases, the Supreme Court has held that a prisoner seeking a	
2	certificate of appealability must show "that jurists of reason would find it debatable whether the	
3	petition states a valid claim of the denial of a constitutional right and that jurists of reason would find it	
4	debatable whether the district court was correct in its procedural ruling." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S.	
5	473, 484 (2000) (emphasis added). Here, the Court finds that Petitioner has not demonstrated that	
6	reasonable jurists would find it debatable whether the Court was correct in its procedural ruling.	
7	Therefore, the Court denies Plaintiff's request for a certificate of appealability on his habeas claims	
8	under 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
9	The Clerk is directed to send copies of this order to Plaintiff.	
10	Dated: June 11, 2007.	
11		
12	<u>s/Marsha J. Pechman</u> Marsha J. Pechman	
13	United States District Judge	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

ORDER - 2